

A Window on Disability



New Zealand Government
Te Kāwanatanga o Aotearoa

**Health Quality &
Safety Commission**
Te Tāhū Hauora



Cover artwork by Sasha Wells, Dunedin, 1986.

Sasha Wells is a Studio2 artist. Sasha likes to draw dogs and cats, using different colours to layer paint, pens, and coloured pencils. Sasha enjoys coming to Studio2 because it's fun - 'This is a picture of sleeping dogs.'

Studio2 is a creative studio space in Ōtepoti Dunedin, where disabled artists are supported to create artwork, experiment with a range of materials, and develop their own artistic styles and profiles.

Published May 2026 by Health Quality & Safety Commission Te Tāhū Hauora,
PO Box 25496, Wellington, 6146.

ISBN: 978-1-991122-44-5 (online)

Available online at www.hqsc.govt.nz

Enquiries to: info@hqsc.govt.nz

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons AttributionNonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0). To view a copy of this licence, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0>



New Zealand Government
Te Kāwanatanga o Aotearoa

Contents

Acknowledgements	8
Executive summary	9
Introduction: A Window on Disability	15
Chapter 1: Disability, data and what we can know	16
Who is disabled in New Zealand?	16
Disability is almost invisible in our health care data	18
So, what did we do? Innovative approaches to data	18
What this report does	20
Our collaborators	20
An opening example	21
An important note: This is not a compendium	22
A quick, high-level view of health care use by disabled people	23
Unmet need for care	26
What does this high-level view of disabled people's health care use mean?	31
Jacinta Tevaga	31
Chapter 2: Life-course stage – Maternity and birth	33
A high-level summary of the findings	33
Caveats on this maternity data	33
Lead maternity carer enrolment	34
Pre-term births	38
Disabled people's experiences of the maternity system	39
Disabled people's satisfaction with maternity care	43
Conclusion	45
Tamara Grant	45
Chapter 3: Life-course stage – Children and youth	48
A high-level summary of the findings	48
Immunisations for disabled children	49
Oral health in disabled children	51
Asthma in disabled children – management and hospitalisations	57
Children and ASH	59
Children, disability and unmet need	60
Youth – human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccinations	61
Kimberly and Finlay's story – the transition from paediatric to adult care	63

Chapter 4: Life-course stage – Adults	65
A high-level summary of the findings	65
Patient experience – what working-age disabled people report about access to primary health care	66
Disabled adults’ mental health	68
Abel, Tāne Maori, He tangata turi (Māori Deaf male)	74
Disability and elective surgery	76
Disability and oral health	80
Disability and diabetes	83
Cancer and disability	85
The unmet need of disabled adults	90
Adult disabled people in long-term care facilities	93
Health and Disability Commissioner complaints and disability	93
Waata Houia – treat us as equal	94
<hr/>	
Chapter 5: Life-course stage – Older adults	96
A high-level summary of the findings	97
Robyn Hunt – disability and the ‘silver tsunami’	97
Hospitalisations of older disabled people and emergency department use	99
Diabetes in older adults	101
Experience of home and community support services	102
<hr/>	
Chapter 6: Conclusion and recommendations	108
<hr/>	
Appendices	111
<hr/>	
Endnotes	122

List of figures

Figure 1: Disabled population from the Household Disability Survey (estimate), people who receive Disability Support Services and people identified as disabled within the Integrated Data Infrastructure (IDI), 2023	17
Figure 2: The Integrated Data Infrastructure (IDI)	19
Figure 3: Donald Beasley Institute representatives	20
Figure 4: Nicholson Consulting representatives	20
Figure 5: Amenable mortality rate per 100,000 population, ages 5–64 years, by disability status, 2019 and 2020	21
Figure 6: Amenable mortality rate per 100,000 population, ages 5–64 years, by disability status and ethnicity, 2019 and 2020	22
Figure 7: Percentage of people enrolled with a GP, by disability status, 2019–2024	23
Figure 8: Percentage of people enrolled with a GP, by ethnicity, 2019–2024	24
Figure 9: PHO enrolment of disabled people, by age group, 2023	25
Figure 10: PHO enrolment of non-disabled people, by age group, 2023	25
Figure 11: Percentage of disabled people who had unmet health care needs, by health care profession, 2023	26
Figure 12: Reasons for disabled people’s unmet need to see a health professional, 2023	27
Figure 13: Rate of ED presentations per 1,000 population, by disability status, 2018–2023	27
Figure 14: Rate of ED presentations per 1,000 population, by ethnicity, 2018–2023	28
Figure 15: Rate of ED presentations per 1,000 population, ages 40–64 years, by number of impairments, 2018–2023	28
Figure 16: Rate of hospitalisations per 1,000 population, by number of impairments, 2018–2023	29
Figure 17: Rate of hospitalisations per 1,000 population, by age group and disability status, 2018–2023	30
Figure 18: Average length of stay in hospital in days, by number of impairments, 2018–2023	30
Figure 19: Percentage of people enrolled with an LMC in trimester one of pregnancy, by disability status, 2018–2022	34
Figure 20: Percentage of people enrolled with an LMC in trimester one of pregnancy, by number of impairments, 2022	35
Figure 21: Percentage of people enrolled with an LMC in trimester one of pregnancy, by disability status and ethnicity, 2022	36
Figure 22: Percentage of people enrolled with an LMC in trimester one of pregnancy, by WG-SS disability domain, 2022	37

Figure 23: Rate of pre-term births per 1,000 births, by disability status, 2018–2022	38
Figure 24: Percentage of people who responded ‘Yes, always’ to the question, ‘Were you involved as much as you wanted to be in making decisions about your treatment and care?’, by disability status, 2021–2024	39
Figure 25: Percentage of people who responded ‘Yes, always’ to the question, ‘Did hospital staff include your family/whānau or someone close to you in discussions about the care you received during your visit?’, by disability status, 2021–2024	40
Figure 26: Percentage of people who responded ‘Yes, definitely’ to the question, ‘Did you feel your individual needs were met?’, by disability status, 2021–2024	40
Figure 27: Percentage of people who responded ‘Yes, definitely’ to the question, ‘Did you feel your individual needs were met?’, by ethnicity and disability status, 2021–2024	41
Figure 28: Percentage of people who responded ‘Yes’ to the question, ‘Were you given conflicting information by different doctors or staff involved in your care, e.g. one would tell you one thing and then another would tell you something different?’, by disability status, 2021–2024	41
Figure 29: Percentage of people who responded ‘Yes’ to the question, ‘Were you given conflicting information by different doctors or staff involved in your care, e.g. one would tell you one thing and then another would tell you something different?’, by ethnicity and disability status, 2021–2024	42
Figure 30: Percentage of people who responded ‘Yes’ to the question, ‘Did hospital staff talk with you about whether you would have the help you needed when you left the hospital?’, by disability status, 2021–2024	42
Figure 31: Percentage of people who responded ‘Yes, always’ to the question, ‘Did hospital staff help you to get to the bathroom or to use a bedpan as soon as you wanted?’, by disability status, 2021–2024	43
Figure 32: Percentage of children fully immunised at 6 months, by disability status, 2016–2019	49
Figure 33: Percentage of children fully immunised at 24 months, by disability status, 2016–2020	49
Figure 34: Percentage of children fully immunised at five years, by disability status, 2016–2022	50
Figure 35: Percentage of children fully immunised at five years, by disability status, 2016–2022	50
Figure 36: Percentage of children fully immunised at five years, by disability status and ethnicity, 2022	51
Figure 37: Rate of hospitalisations for dental surgery, per 1,000 population per year, ages 5–18 years, by number of impairments, 2018–2023	52
Figure 38: Rate of hospitalisations for dental surgery per 1,000 population, ages 5–18 years, by WG-SS disability domain, 2023	52
Figure 39: Rate of dental surgeries per 1,000 population, ages 5–18 years, by ethnicity and disability status, 2018–2023	53
Figure 40: Percentage of children with scores of 2–6 (more tooth decay) on the ‘lift the lip’ dental decay test at B4SC, by disability status, 2018–2022	55

Figure 41: Percentage of children with scores of 2-6 (more tooth decay) on the 'lift the lip' dental decay test at B4SC, by disability status and ethnicity, 2022	55
Figure 42: Percentage of children with no recorded B4SC dental check, by disability status, 2015-2022	56
Figure 43: Percentage of asthma patients who were dispensed a reliever but not a preventer in at least two quarters of the year, ages 5-18 years, by number of impairments, 2018-2023	57
Figure 44: Hospitalisation rate for asthma per 100,000 population, ages 5-18 years, by number of impairments, 2018-2023	58
Figure 45: Hospitalisation rate for asthma per 100,000 population, ages 5-18 years, by ethnicity and disability status, 2018-2023	59
Figure 46: Ten ASH conditions with highest rates per 100,000 population, ages 5-18 years, by disability status, 2023	60
Figure 47: Percentage of eligible females fully vaccinated with HPV vaccine, ages 10-19 and 20-34 years, by disability status, 2023	61
Figure 48: Percentage of eligible males fully vaccinated with HPV vaccine, ages 10-19 and 20-34 years, by disability status, 2023	62
Figure 49: Percentage of people reporting a time when they couldn't access primary care when they wanted it in the last 12 months, ages 19-64 years, by disability status, May-August 2025	66
Figure 50: Percentage of disabled people reporting a time when they couldn't access primary care when they wanted to in the last 12 months, by age group and ethnicity May-August 2025	67
Figure 51: Prevalence of moderate or greater and severe anxiety symptoms, by disability status, 2021-2023	69
Figure 52: Prevalence of moderate or greater and severe depression, by disability status, 2021-2023	70
Figure 53: Prevalence of high or very high levels of psychological distress by disability status, 2018-2024	71
Figure 54: Percentage of population that has contact with specialist mental health and addiction services, by age group and disability status, 2023	71
Figure 55: Percentage of population using specialist mental health and addiction services, by WG-SS disability domain, 2023	72
Figure 56: Percentage of people using specialist mental health and addiction services, by ethnicity and disability status, 2023	72
Figure 57: Unmet need for professional help for their mental health in past 12 months, by disability status, 2021-24	73
Figure 58: Percentage of patients, ages 19-64, waiting more than four months for first specialist assessment after being given referral, by disability status, 2020-2021	76

Figure 59: Percentage of patients waiting more than four months for treatment after being given commitment to treatment, by disability status, 2020–2021	77
Figure 60: Percentage of patients waiting more than four months for treatment after being given commitment to treatment, ages 19–64 years, by number of impairments, 2020–2021	78
Figure 61: Percentage of complications in common surgeries, ages 19–64, by disability status, 2018–2024	78
Figure 62: Percentage of complications in common surgeries (all ages) for disabled people, by ethnicity, 2018–2024	79
Figure 63: Post-operative complications rate per 1,000 surgeries, ages 40–64 years, by ethnicity and disability status, 2018–2024	80
Figure 64: Rate of dental surgeries per 1,000 population per year, by disability status, 2018–2023	81
Figure 65: Rate of dental surgeries per 1,000 population per year, ages 19–39 years, by number of impairments, 2018–2023	81
Figure 66: Rate of dental surgeries per 1,000 population per year, ages 19–39 years, by WG-SS disability domain, 2023	82
Figure 67: Prevalence of diabetes per 1,000 population, ages 40–64 years, by number of impairments, 2018–2023	84
Figure B1: Age-standardised cancer incidence rate among disabled people and the total population per 100,000 person-years, 2018–2022	86
Figure B2: Age-standardised cancer incidence rate among disabled people and the total population per 100,000 person-years, by age group, 2018–2022	86
Figure B3: Age-standardised cancer incidence rate among disabled people and the total population per 100,000 person-years, by ethnicity, 2018–2022	87
Figure B4: Age-standardised cancer incidence rate among disabled people and the total population per 100,000 person-years, by deprivation quintile, 2018–2022	87
Figure B5: Age-standardised cancer incidence rate among disabled people and the total population per 100,000 person-years, by cancer type, 2018–2022	88
Figure 68: Percentage of disabled people reporting an unmet need for assistive equipment, by disability WG-SS domain, 2023	91
Figure 69: Percentage of disabled people reporting an unmet need for assistive equipment, by barrier and domain of disability, 2023	92
Figure 70: Hospitalisation rate per 1,000 population, ages 65–79 years, by number of impairments, 2018–2023	99
Figure 71: Average length of stay in hospital in days, ages 65–79 years, by number of impairments, 2018–2023	100
Figure 72: Hospitalisation rate per 1,000 population, ages 65–79 years, by WG-SS disability domain, 2023	100

Figure 73: ED presentations rate per 1,000 population per year, ages 65–79 years, by number of impairments, 2018–2023	101
Figure 74: Prevalence of diabetes per 1,000 population, ages 65–79 years, by number of impairments, 2018–2023	102
Figure 75: People reporting the most positive experience for each of the scheduling questions, by number of impairments, HCSS survey 2024	104
Figure 76: Total employees and employees identifying as disabled by Health New Zealand districts that report, 31 July 2025	119

List of tables

Table 1: Percentage of older disabled people reporting that their support workers always treated them with respect and kindness, by ethnicity and number of impairments, HCSS survey 2024	103
Table 2: Percentage of older disabled people reporting that their support workers always came at times that worked for them, by ethnicity and number of impairments, HCSS survey 2024	105
Table 3: Percentage of older disabled people reporting that their support workers always arrived at around the time expected, by ethnicity and number of impairments, HCSS survey 2024	106

Acknowledgements

Writing team

Robbie Francis Watene

Brigit Mirfin-Veitch

Carl Shuker

Analysis team

Sarah Underwood

Amjad Ali

Tori van Loenhout

Todd Nicholson

Consumer contributors

'Abel' (pseudonym)

Jacinta Tevaga

Kimberly Graham and Finlay Butcher

Robyn Hunt

Tamara Grant

Waata Houia and Pam Houia

Peer reviewers

Bernadette Jones

Huhana Hickey

Jonathan Godfrey

Ruth Cunningham

Contributors

Cha'nel Kaa-Luke

Eden Cruice

Laina Isler

Michael Brosnan

Philip Schluter

Riana Clarke

Sam Murray and Phoebe Eden-Mann

Sarah Jones

Sasha Wells

Sunethra Tennekoon

Umi Asaka

The Disability Data and Evidence Advisory Group and Disability Data and Evidence Community of Practice

Disabled People's Organisations (DPO) Coalition

Health of Disabled People Policy team, Ministry of Health - Manatū Hauora

Allison Anderson

Heather Tribe

Victoria Manning

Health and Disability Commissioner team

Brent Neilson

Esther Woodbury

Kylie Te Arihi

Natasha Davidson

Rose Wall

Stats NZ data labs team

Te Aho o Te Kahu - Cancer Control Agency team

Gabrielle Nicholson

Giselle Baretta

Hazem Abd Elkader

Michelle Liu

Whaikaha - Ministry of Disabled People team

Claire Bretherton

Michelle Gezentsvey

Health New Zealand | Te Whatu Ora

Leo Goldie-Anderson

Rachel Noble



Executive summary

Disabled people should have the opportunity to survive, thrive, and lead ordinary lives with their whānau and friends.

The Window on Quality reports are designed to provide a snapshot of the quality and safety of our health system at a given point of time.

Health Quality & Safety Commission Te Tāhū Hauora is proud to partner with Nicholson Consulting and the Donald Beasley Institute to present this Window on Disability. This collaborative effort unites consumers, whānau and health professionals to provide a rigorous analysis of health care quality for disabled people in Aotearoa New Zealand.

The difficulties of disability data

Disability in New Zealand is not measured well. While the limited questions in the New Zealand Census 2023 found 5% of New Zealanders were disabled, the deeper and broader questions in the Household Disability Survey run by Statistics New Zealand found that, in 2023, 17% of New Zealanders were disabled.

That figure represents more than one-sixth of the population, or more than 850,000 people.

Despite this, the disability status of New Zealanders is not collected in all our normal health data sources, so the quality of healthcare for disabled people has not been measured properly before.

The Health Quality & Safety Commission Te Tāhū Hauora (the Commission) has produced the 'Window on Disability' report in partnership with the Donald Beasley Institute (DBI) and Nicholson Consulting. The report combines disabled-led research and disability community engagement with advanced Integrated Data Infrastructure (IDI) data analytics.

This report uses new techniques to examine whether there are differences in health care access, experience, quality and outcomes between disabled and non-disabled people, as many disabled people have reported from personal experience. Findings confirm community insights and, for the first time at scale, reveal how disabled people interact with and experience the health system.

High-level findings: amenable mortality (death from treatable conditions) and healthcare usage of disabled people

Disabled people die from treatable conditions (known as “amenable mortality”) at five times the rate of non-disabled people.

Māori disabled people die from treatable conditions at nearly 10 times the rate of non-Māori, non-Pacific non-disabled people.

A high-level view of disabled people’s healthcare usage shows that despite having higher rates of enrolment in primary care, difficulties in accessing a GP appear to drive large numbers of disabled people to emergency departments, contribute to higher rates of hospitalisations, and longer average hospital stays than non-disabled people. All of this comes at a cost to people’s lives and to the health system in dollars. Having more than one disability or being Māori or Pacific disabled makes these inequities worse.

We dive deeper into life course stages to understand some of the contributors to these high-level inequities.

Life-course stage – Maternity and birth

In New Zealand, disabled people are less likely than non-disabled people to be enrolled with a lead maternity carer (LMC), such as a midwife, in their first trimester of pregnancy. People with multiple impairments are even less likely to be enrolled with an LMC.

Later, compared with non-disabled people, disabled people experience higher rates of pre-term birth, and report lower scores on their experience of maternity care and their satisfaction with the maternity experience. Tamara Grant (Ngāti Tūwharetoa, Ngāti Tama, Ngāi Tahu), who is autistic, has fibromyalgia, and mother of two, says. “We deserve a health system that sees us, listens to us and believes we know our own bodies.”

Life-course stage – Children and youth

Broadly, the system appears responsive to disabled children. Before the COVID-19 pandemic, disabled children were vaccinated at similar rates to non-disabled children. It is likely that the pandemic led to some inequity, but we do not have data to support this. The IDI has to use older Census data, so we do not have information for babies born after the Census. However, data for five-year-olds appears to support the suggestion that there has been a downturn in immunisation rates for disabled children – Māori and Pacific disabled children in particular.

Poor oral health is an early issue and recurs throughout the life course. Evidence comes from rates of dental hospitalisations for dental disease, which are higher for disabled children than for non-disabled children. Rates are higher again for Māori and Pacific disabled children.

Compared with non-disabled children, asthma in disabled children is less likely to be treated well, and higher rates of hospitalisation follow. Disabled children experience higher levels of potentially avoidable hospitalisations for different causes, including dental, gastroenteritis and constipation, than non-disabled children. Disabled children and young people, along with their whānau, report significant unmet need for primary care, assistive equipment, and medication. The shift from paediatric to adult health care services is fraught. For example, it can involve poorly managed transitions, limited expertise in youth health among adult providers, loss of trusted paediatric relationships, and weak communication across adult care. This experience is reflected in the story of Kimberly Graham, and her son Finlay Butcher, as Finlay transitioned from paediatric services: “Instead of the steady, familiar support we used to have from long-term paediatric specialists, every service now seems to require constant and persistent advocacy.”

Life-course stage – Adults

Patients’ experiences of barriers to accessing primary health care indicate that wait times are a key issue. In terms of mental health, disabled adults experience higher rates of anxiety and depression, and use specialist mental health and addiction services more frequently, but still experience greater unmet need for mental health services.

Abel, Tāne Maori, he tangata turi (Māori Deaf male), says, “For too long, Māori and tangata turi men like me have been in survival mode. We adapt, we prepare, we advocate – not because we want to, but because we have to. It shouldn’t be this hard to be seen, heard and supported in our own health system.”

The elective surgery cluster of indicators shows that the proportion of disabled people waiting longer than four months for their first specialist assessment after referral is similar to that for non-disabled people. However, the proportion of disabled people waiting more than four months for treatment once a treatment plan is committed to is higher than that of non-disabled people. Disabled people experience higher rates of post-operative complications for common surgeries. Moreover, the risk increases as the number of impairments increases, and is disproportionately higher for Māori and Pacific disabled people.

Like disabled children and young people, disabled adults experience higher levels of dental surgeries as oral health worsens over time, and significant unmet need particularly for primary health care. Disabled adults have a higher prevalence of diabetes than non-disabled adults. Many disabled adults under 64 years of age live in long-term care homes – that is, aged residential care (780 people in the first quarter of the financial year 2024/25), despite these settings not being designed for the needs of younger adults. Data from Te Aho o Te Kahu – Cancer Control Agency shows disabled people experience higher rates of major cancers – lung cancer in particular – than non-disabled people. We include a summary of the HDC report, ‘Disabled People’s | Tāngata Whaikaha Experiences of Health Services: report on complaints to HDC’.

Waata Houia, a 41-year-old Māori man with a learning disability, says, “We’re the same as everyone else, but we’ve got a learning disability. We know what we can do, we know what we want to talk about, and we know what they need to learn so they can be accessible to us as who we are. We won’t be changing! Treat us as equal.”

Life-course stage – Older adults

Improved access to medicines, supports and services has contributed to increased life expectancy among disabled people compared with earlier periods. At the same time, many non-disabled people acquire age-related impairments and disabilities later in life. However, there is limited data distinguishing between these groups and their distinct health needs.

Older disabled people (65–79) with more than one impairment use ED at higher rates, are hospitalised at higher rates and stay longer in hospital once there.

Robyn Hunt is disability consultant, blogger and commentator. She is a lifelong wheelchair user, vision impaired, and hearing impaired. Robyn talks about the experience of ageing with disability into a cohort characterised by newly acquired disability, including the negotiation of a health system that is primarily set up to address late-acquired and age-related disability.

This chapter also addresses the quality of care in home and community support settings for older disabled adults, their experience of this care and what could be improved – in particular, older disabled people were significantly less likely than non-disabled people to report positive experiences with effective and reliable scheduling of care.

Conclusion

The Window on Disability demonstrates that the nature of our data on disabled people depends critically on the questions that are asked, when they are asked, who they are asked of and how they are asked. Greater rigour is urgently needed in the way disabled people’s health and safety are documented within New Zealand’s public health system. While the complexity of capturing disability data, and the gaps in the evidence base are clear, the data that does exist tells a consistent and deeply concerning story. This Window affirms what many disabled people already know through lived experience: disabled people experience poorer health and safety outcomes than their non-disabled peers, with disparities further compounded for Māori and Pacific disabled people.

Recommendations

1. We need to know

The central problem identified in this Window report is the absence of disaggregated data related to disability. Disability must be accurately reflected in national health data.

Programmes such as the Patient Profile and National Health Index (PPNHI) show a way forward. Both Health NZ and the Ministry of Health are engaged in work around approaches to effective and accurate reflection of disability in national health data.

This work must be genuinely led by disabled people, tāngata whaikaha and their whānau and fully engaged with by every health service and agency in the country as a matter of priority.

A good first step would be to:

- commit to, and fully resource, the mandatory inclusion of standardised disability identification questions across all existing and future health data collection processes.

2. We need to train

Disability knowledge must be embedded into all stages of the education, training and professional development of our health care workforce. We must agree on a disability curriculum with the disability community and make it compulsory.

This responsibility falls to the governing bodies of the medical schools, the medical and nursing councils, the Ministry of Health and Health New Zealand – Te Whatu Ora.

A good first step would be to:

- mandate a high-level working group to embed disability-inclusive competencies across all health workforce curricula. This group needs to comprise disabled people, tāngata whaikaha and their whānau, and disability health experts, alongside senior decision-makers from professional training and development bodies.

3. We need to employ

Every health agency and service can benefit their users through the employment of disabled people and tāngata whaikaha who understand the disability experience. Actions to recruit, retain, make reasonable accommodations for and support the progression of disabled people within the health workforce are needed to achieve this.

A good first step would be to:

- conduct a comprehensive review of existing hiring frameworks to identify and extend, and if necessary develop robust inclusivity and accessibility policies. This includes recruitment, ensuring that both digital platforms and physical interview processes are accessible by design, and support is provided for disabled people's long-term success and career progression within the role.

4. We need to involve

We must embed self-determination and supported decision-making as core principles in the New Zealand health system. Disabled people, tāngata whaikaha and their whānau must be involved, and know they are involved, in decisions about their treatment and care. They must be provided the means to enable this: accessible tools and information, communication supports, and time to enable meaningful participation.

A good first step would be to:

- implement nationwide supported decision-making frameworks and training, developed in partnership with disabled people, and tailored specifically for frontline health services to ensure clinical practice aligns with the human rights of disabled people and tāngata whaikaha. ■





Introduction: A Window on Disability

Health Quality & Safety Commission Te Tāhū Hauora is proud to partner with Nicholson Consulting and the Donald Beasley Institute to present this Window on Disability. This collaborative effort unites consumers, whānau and health professionals to provide a rigorous analysis of health care quality for disabled people in Aotearoa New Zealand. By bridging high-level data from the Integrated Data Infrastructure (IDI) and other government sources, with the expertise and experience of the disability community, this Window report aims to transform how New Zealand's health system perceives, responds to and serves disabled people, tāngata whaikaha and their whānau.*

This report offers more than a retrospective of lived experience; it captures living experience – the daily navigation of a system in urgent need of evolution. We envision a future where disabled people do not merely survive, but thrive, leading ordinary lives alongside their whānau. Achieving this requires moving beyond passive observation toward legislation, policy, and practice that mandate accessibility and drive systemic change.

A central theme of this work is the vital necessity of leadership and consultation. From the latest data, we see that the experience of disability is dynamic, evolving across the life course – from the specific needs of disabled birthing parents to the growing demographic of those over 65 who often face barriers to essential support. Currently, our infrastructure remains fragmented; while many are registered with primary care, barriers such as inaccessibility and a lack of disability-specific training often force individuals into emergency departments for care that should be proactive and preventative. For Māori and Pacific disability communities, these challenges are further compounded.

Though imperfect, the data highlighted throughout this Window report demonstrates the inverse care law in action,¹ which states, in plain English, that the people who need medical care the most are the least likely to get it. In other words, those with the greatest health needs, in this case disabled people, often receive the least or lowest-quality care, leading to worsening health outcomes.

Ultimately, this Window report reveals a system under pressure, yet it also highlights a clear path forward. By centring these diverse narratives, we underscore the urgent need for a future health system grounded in equity and the fundamental principle of 'nothing about us, without us'. ■

* As outlined in the New Zealand Disability Strategy 2026–2036, there is no one way of talking about disability or disabled people. Some people proudly use the term 'disabled person' while others use 'person with a disability' because they want to be recognised as a person first, before their disability. This Window primarily uses 'disabled person/people' when speaking generally, and 'people with two or more impairments' when referencing data that is disaggregated by impairment type. Other important terms include tāngata whaikaha, whānau hauā, Tagata Sa'ilimalo, and people with learning disabilities. A full glossary can be found in Appendix 4.



New Zealand Government
Te Kāwanatanga o Aotearoa

**Health Quality &
Safety Commission**
Te Tāhū Hauora

